

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/683,616 10/14/2003 1835 Joyce Fernandez **EXAMINER** 7590 04/26/2006 Joseph B. Taphorn LARSON, JUSTIN MATTHEW 8 Scenic Drive ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Hagan Farms Poughkeepsie, NY 12603 3727

DATE MAILED: 04/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/683,616	FERNANDEZ, JOYCE		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Justin M. Larson	3727		

•	Justin M. Larson	3727		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires on:	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below); ducing or simplifying		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	•		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 5-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		a.	
		ing ide		
		NATHAN J. NEW	/HOUSE	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The limitation requiring that the elongated piece of fabric has "a width throughout its length to cover completely a child's buttocks and support the child comfortably" was not present in the claims examined before the previously mailed non-final and final rejections, and is thus a new issue. Examiner regrets failing to include this limitation in the list of new issues set forth in the previous Advisory Action. Regarding Applicant's assertion that the Final rejection was premature, the rejections involving the Cordisco reference were necessitated by Applicant's amendments to the claims, specifically the structure of claims 7-14 requiring the child sling to be located on a user's back when in use, and the Final rejection was therefore proper. See MPEP 706.07(a).